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This online appendix contains various derivations for the published article. It is organized as follows. Section A proves the concavity of the expected utility function. Section B derives the optimal global asset allocation with home preference. Section $C$ examines the global market equilibrium and derives the asset pricing relation.

## A. Concavity of $E U_{i}$ with respect to $\alpha_{i}$

Recall that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{i}\left(W_{i}^{1}\right)=v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)+f_{i}\left(v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)-v_{i}\left(W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)\right)  \tag{A1}\\
& E U_{i}\left(W_{i}^{1}\right)=E v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)+E f_{i}\left(v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)-v_{i}\left(W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)\right) \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

We first show that $U_{i}$ is concave in $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}$. Let's take derivatives of $U_{i}$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}$.
The gradient is:

$$
\nabla U_{i}=\frac{\partial U_{i}}{\partial \mathbf{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}}=W_{i} \mathbf{r} v_{i}^{\prime}+W_{i} \mathbf{r} f_{i}^{\prime} \times v_{i}^{\prime}=W_{i} \mathbf{r} v_{i}^{\prime}\left(1+f_{i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where the argument of $v_{i}^{\prime}$ is $W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}$, and the argument of $f_{i}^{\prime}$ is $v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)-v_{i}\left(W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)$. So $\frac{\partial U_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ is continuous.

The Hessian matrix is:

$$
D^{2} U_{i}=\frac{\partial^{2} U_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}} \partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T}}=W_{i}^{2} \mathbf{r r}^{T}\left[v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}\left(1+f_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)+v_{i}^{\prime 2} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right]
$$

where $v_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ and $v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ are valued at $W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}$ and $f_{i}^{\prime}$ and $f_{i}$ " are valued at $v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)-v_{i}\left(W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)$.
The Hessian matrix $D^{2} U_{i}$ is negative semi-definite for all values of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and $\mathbf{r}$, as $v_{i}{ }^{\prime}, f_{i}^{\prime}>0$ and $v_{i}{ }^{\prime}, f_{i}^{\prime \prime}<0$. (Note that $\mathbf{r r}^{T}$ is positive semi-definite.)

Let's now turn to $E U_{i}$ which can be written as a function of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}$. The second derivative of $E U_{i}$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is:

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} E U_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}} \partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T}}=E \frac{\partial^{2} U_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}} \partial \boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T}}=W_{i}^{2} E\left(\mathbf{r r}^{T}\left[v_{i} "\left(1+f_{i}^{\prime}\right)+v_{i}^{\prime 2} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)
$$

Because $\frac{\partial^{2} U_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}} \partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T}}$ is negative semi-definite for all values of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\mathbf{r}$, so is $\frac{\partial^{2} E U_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}} \partial \mathbf{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T}}$.

## B. Optimal global asset allocation with home preference

For a given allocation $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}$, we develop the Taylor expansion ${ }^{1}$ around 0 for small price movements. We expand the value function $v_{i}($.$) around 0$ and the regret function $f_{i}($.$) around 0$. So the implicit arguments are 0 for all derivatives of $v_{i}($.$) and f_{i}($.$) . With the additional notations \overline{\mathbf{r}}=E(\mathbf{r})$ and $\boldsymbol{\Omega}=E\left(\mathbf{r r}^{\mathbf{T}}\right)$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right) \approx v_{i}(0)+W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \overline{\mathbf{r}} v_{i}{ }^{\prime}+\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2}\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}\right] v_{i}^{\prime \prime} \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& E f_{i}\left(v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)-v_{i}\left(W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)\right) \\
& \approx E\left[v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)-v_{i}\left(W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)\right] f_{i}{ }^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} E\left[v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)-v_{i}\left(W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)\right]^{2} f_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)=v_{i}(0)+W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r} v_{i}{ }^{\prime}+\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)^{2} v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime} \\
& v_{i}\left(W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)=v_{i}(0)+W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r} v_{i}{ }^{\prime}+\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2}\left(\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)^{2} v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime} \\
& E\left[v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)-v_{i}\left(W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)\right] \approx W_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T}\right) \overline{\mathbf{r}} v_{i}{ }^{\prime}+\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Omega}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}} v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}-\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{i}} v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}}^{E\left[v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)-v_{i}\left(W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)\right]^{2} \approx W_{i}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T}\right) \mathbf{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) v_{i}{ }^{\prime 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (A4) becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E f_{i}\left(v_{i}\left(W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)-v_{i}\left(W_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{T} \mathbf{r}\right)\right) \\
& \approx\left[W_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T}\right) \overline{\mathbf{r}} v_{i}{ }^{\prime}+\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}} v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}-\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}} v_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right] f_{i}{ }^{\prime}  \tag{A5}\\
& +\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) v_{i}^{\prime 2} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}
\end{align*}
$$

The expected utility is the sum of two terms:

[^0]$$
E U_{i}=(\mathrm{A} 3)+(\mathrm{A} 5)
$$

Then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E U_{i} \approx v_{i}(0)+W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{T}} \overline{\mathbf{r}} v_{i}^{\prime}+\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2}\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}\right] v_{i}^{\prime \prime} \\
& +\left[W_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T}\right) \overline{\mathbf{r}} v_{i}{ }^{\prime}+\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}} v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}-\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}} v_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right] f_{i}^{\prime}  \tag{A6}\\
& +\frac{W_{i}^{2}}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}^{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) v_{i}^{\prime 2} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}
\end{align*}
$$

Let's compute the optimal allocation by setting to zero the derivative of $E U_{i}($.$) with respect to \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}$. The first order condition without constraints on $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}$ :

$$
W_{i}\left[\overline{\mathbf{r}} v_{i}^{\prime}+W_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}} v_{i} "+\overline{\mathbf{r}} v_{i}^{\prime} f_{i}^{\prime}+W_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}} v_{i} " f_{i}^{\prime}+W_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) v_{i}^{\prime 2} f_{i} "\right]=0
$$

The optimal allocation by home investors is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{*}=-\frac{\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{r}} v_{i}{ }^{\prime} \times\left(1+f_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right)}{W_{i}\left(v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}+v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime} f_{i}{ }^{\prime}+v_{i}^{\prime 2} f_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)}+\frac{\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}} v_{i}^{\prime 2} f_{i} "}{v_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}+v_{i}{ }^{\prime} f_{i}{ }^{\prime}+v_{i}^{\prime 2} f_{i}{ }^{\prime \prime}} \\
& =\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \frac{\overline{\mathbf{r}}}{\lambda_{i}} \times\left(1-\frac{\gamma_{i} / \lambda_{i}}{1+\gamma_{i} / \lambda_{i}}\right)+\frac{\gamma_{i} / \lambda_{i}}{1+\gamma_{i} / \lambda_{i}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}  \tag{A7}\\
& =\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \frac{\overline{\mathbf{r}}}{\lambda_{i}} \times\left(1-\theta_{i}\right)+\theta_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}=-\frac{W_{i} v_{i} "}{v_{i}{ }^{\prime}}$ is the traditional measure of relative risk aversion and the parameter $\theta_{i}$ can be regarded as "normalized" home preference. Following Bell (1983), we define $\gamma_{i}=-\frac{W_{i} v_{i}{ }^{\prime} f_{i} \text { " }}{1+f_{i}{ }^{\prime}}$ as the foreign aversion parameter and $\theta_{i}=\frac{\gamma_{i} / \lambda_{i}}{1+\gamma_{i} / \lambda_{i}}$ as the measure of home preference.

## C. Global market equilibrium: Asset pricing relation

The optimal allocations are:

$$
\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{*}=\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \frac{\overline{\mathbf{r}}}{\lambda_{i}} \times\left(1-\theta_{i}\right)+\theta_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}
$$

Thus:

$$
\overline{\mathbf{r}}=\lambda_{i} \boldsymbol{\Omega}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}{ }^{*}-\theta_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) /\left(1-\theta_{i}\right)
$$

The vector $\mathbf{M}$ is the column vector of $m_{i}=M_{i} / M=M_{i} / W$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{*}=\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \frac{\overline{\mathbf{r}}}{\lambda_{i}} \times\left(1-\theta_{i}\right)+\theta_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}} \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}^{*} / W=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \times\left(1-\theta_{i}\right)\left[\mathbf{\Omega}^{-1} \frac{\overline{\mathbf{r}}}{\lambda_{i}}\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \theta_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the world-average home preference: $\theta_{W}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} \theta_{i}$.
Let's make the simplifying assumption that ${ }^{2} \lambda_{i}=\lambda$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{M}=\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-1} \frac{\overline{\mathbf{r}}}{\lambda} \times\left(1-\theta_{W}\right)\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \theta_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}} \\
& \overline{\mathbf{r}}=\lambda \boldsymbol{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{M}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \theta_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) /\left(1-\theta_{W}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{i}\left(1-\theta_{W}\right)=w_{i} \theta_{i}-m_{i} \theta_{W} \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \theta_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} \theta_{W} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}\left(1-\theta_{W}\right) \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Remember that the $i^{\text {th }}$ element of $\mathbf{M}$ is $m_{i}$, so:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \theta_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}=\theta_{W} \mathbf{M}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}\left(1-\theta_{W}\right) \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}} \\
& \overline{\mathbf{r}}=\lambda \mathbf{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{M}-\theta_{W} \mathbf{M}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}\left(1-\theta_{W}\right) \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) /\left(1-\theta_{W}\right) \\
& \overline{\mathbf{r}}=\lambda \boldsymbol{\Omega}\left(\mathbf{M}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=\lambda \boldsymbol{\Omega}(\mathbf{M}-\Delta) \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}$ can be considered as a pure arbitrage portfolio as the weights sum to zero while the weights of the market portfolio sum to one.

Let's define:

$$
R_{W}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i} R_{i} \text { and } R_{\delta}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{i} R_{i}
$$

For country $i$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(R_{i}\right)-R_{0}=\lambda \operatorname{cov}\left(R_{i}, R_{W}\right)-\lambda \operatorname{cov}\left(R_{i}, R_{\delta}\right) \\
& E\left(R_{i}\right)-R_{0}=\lambda \operatorname{cov}\left(R_{i}, R_{W}\right)-\lambda \sum_{j} \delta_{j} \operatorname{cov}\left(R_{i}, R_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Our derivations could be made a bit more formal by taking $\mathbf{r}=\xi \mathbf{r}$ ' and letting $\xi$ become very small. This is a direct application of the "compact" derivations of the approximation by Samuelson (1970).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ We could possibly use different $\lambda_{i}$ and define $\sum\left[\frac{w_{i}}{\lambda_{i}}\right] \times\left(1-\theta_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(1-\theta_{W}\right)$

